MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

5 YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN

2025-2029

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

1. Comprehensive Mission Statement:

The mission of the Mississippi Department of Corrections is to enhance public safety by providing secure facilities and effective post-release supervision for offenders and ensuring a safe and professional work environment for staff while bringing accountability, innovation, and fiscal responsibility to the citizens of Mississippi.

2. Philosophy:

The support and safety of the public is of paramount importance and fundamental to the success of the agency's mission. Recognizing that people make an organization, the Mississippi Department of Corrections values and is committed to the professional development and well-being of each employee.

3. Relevant Statewide Goals and Benchmarks:

Statewide Goal #1:

• To protect the public's safety, including providing timely and appropriate responses to emergencies and disasters and to operate a fair and effective system of justice

Relevant Benchmarks #1:

- Crimes per 100,000 population (includes the crimes of murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft)
- Reported arrests for drug abuse violations per 100,000 population
- Collection of monetary penalties (percentage of monetary penalties collected and distributed within the established timelines)
- State prisoners per 100,000 population (includes only inmates sentenced to more than a year)
- Average annual incarceration cost per inmate
- Percentage of inmates without a General Educational Development certificate (GED), high school diploma, or vocational certification upon incarceration who earned one prior to release
- Percent of released inmates with a General Educational Development certificate (GED) or higher
- Percent of released inmates with marketable job skills

- Percent of inmates who obtain a vocational certification in prison who obtain and retain a job (one year and five year follow up) in the vocation for which they were trained
- Percentage of released inmates served in re-entry program housing upon release
- Adult recidivism rate (re-incarceration within three years of initial release)
- Youthful Offender recidivism rate (re-incarceration within three years of initial release)
- Number of incidents of contraband, violence, other significant rule violations inside prisons
- Number of crime victims provided with services
- Number of inmates receiving medical services for serious or chronic medical conditions
- Percentage of inmates exiting incarceration with the appropriate identification (birth certificate, Social Security card, and state identification card)
- Average emergency response time to natural and man-made disasters

4. Overview of the Agency 5-Year Strategic Plan:

In July of 2013, Mississippi prisons housed 22,600 inmates. Mississippi had the second-highest imprisonment rate in the country, trailing only Louisiana. In the absence of policy changes, the population was projected to require an additional 1,990 inmates by 2024; that growth estimated to cost the state an additional \$266 million in corrections spending over the next 10 years.

In an attempt to ease escalating prison costs over the past decade, between 2008-2010, the state adopted a series of patchwork release policies that undermined clarity in sentencing, created a disconnect between the corrections and criminal justice systems, and were ultimately unsuccessful at controlling prison population and cost growth.

Seeking a comprehensive and data-driven review of the sentencing and corrections systems, the 2013 Mississippi Legislature passed, and Governor Phil Bryant signed into law, House Bill 1231 to establish the bipartisan, inter-branch Corrections and Criminal Justice Task Force (Task Force). The Task Force was charged with developing policies that improve public safety, ensure clarity in sentencing, and control corrections costs. Beginning in June 2013, the Task Force analyzed the state's corrections and criminal justice systems, including an exhaustive review of sentencing, corrections, and community supervision data. Key findings include:

- Almost three-quarters of inmates entering prison in 2012 were sentenced for a nonviolent offense.
- More inmates are now entering prison for violations of supervision than for new crimes.
- Uncertainty about how long inmates will serve behind bars has helped push up sentence lengths by 28 percent over the past decade.
- Nearly one in three nonviolent inmates return to prison within three years of release.

Based on the analysis, the Task Force developed a comprehensive package of policy recommendations that fulfill its mission. Taken together, the Task Force's policy recommendations are projected to halt all projected prison growth and avert at least \$266 million in corrections spending through 2024.

During the 2014 legislative session H.B. 585 was passed in an effort to address the problems identified by the Criminal Justice Task Force. MDOC is committed to implement the requirements of H.B. 585 in its policies, procedures, and practices.

From the fourth quarter of 2017 through the end of 2019, the custody population stabilized, holding steady at 19,119. Since January of 2020 and in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, the population has dropped by 8.8% and is lower than it has been since 1999. The curtailed population growth is a sign that reforms are working. MDOC is committed to continued vigilance to maintain the successes achieved to date. We acknowledge that revocations remain a challenge and must be addressed if we are to continue to avert population growth.

It is in that vein that MDOC shares the nationwide re-entry mission to reintegrate returning citizens into the community, reduce prison recidivism, and improve public safety through addressing the educational, employment, healthcare, housing and family relationships needs of those re-entering society by providing support and connection to needed services in the community after being released

from prison. MDOC is in a good position to capitalize on any future population decreases that H.B. 585 may allow. Reinvestment in proven programs and services is essential to sustain the reforms gained through H. B. 585.

5. Agency's External/Internal Assessment

- Although H.B. 585 addresses some aspects of sentencing, MDOC has no control over the length of sentences imposed by the courts which has a direct effect on inmate populations.
- Following the trend in other states, the inmate population is becoming older and generally requires more medical care.
- MDOC relies upon inmate self-reporting education and employment histories allowing for some inaccurate data to be introduced into the classification process.
- Upon exiting the corrections system (all portions of the sentence expired), the MDOC has limited means of tracking the progress of the offender.

6. Agency Goals, Objectives, Strategies, and Measures by Program for FY 2025 through FY 2029:

PROGRAM: SUPPORT

1. Mississippi State Penitentiary

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offenders while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1: To maintain adequate security staff and housing commensurate with prison population

Outcome: Number of inmates to officers (ratio) **8.6**

Outcome: Percent of inmate daily population to operational capacity 89.1%

A.1.1 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 430

Output: Annual security staff Filled 275

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 64%

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled 275

Output: Annual average of double shifts 1054

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 3.8

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Manage utilization of prison beds

Output: Annual average daily prison population 2368 Output: Prison capacity 2658 Efficiency: Percent of occupied prison capacity 89.1%

Institutional Security

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates 4 Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers 8

A.2.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of random cell searches 3471

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 1372

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell search 39.5%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.2.2 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 21

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 12

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 57.1%

A.2.3 STRATEGY: Track number of inmate on inmate assaults

Output: Annual number of inmates 2368

Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 83 Efficiency: Rate of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 4

A.2.4 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered **2718** Output: Annual number of positive results **389**

Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens 14.3%

Other Institutional Services

OBJECTIVE A.3. To promote positive behavioral change through continuous inmate assessment, reclassification and delivery of case management services

Outcome: Rate of serious and major institutional infractions per 1000 inmates 59.6

A.3.1. STRATEGY: Conduct reclassifications for inmate population every 12 months
 Output: Number of annual inmate objective reclassifications 1648
 Output: Number of inmates eligible for objective reclassification 2065
 Efficiency: Percent of objective reclassifications completed 79.8%

A.3.2. STRATEGY: Track case manager contacts with inmate population

Output: Annual average inmate population 2368

Output: Annual average case manager contacts 1729

Efficiency: Percent of case manager contacts with inmate population 73%

A.3.3. STRATEGY: Track institutional rule violations per month

Output: Average Inmate population 2368 Output: Average number of serious and major rule violations 141 Efficiency: Percentage of serious and major rule violations 6%

Evidence Based Interventions

OBJECTIVE A.4. To provide effective alcohol and drug treatment programs

Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete the A&D Program 35.5%

A.4.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for A&D treatment needs Output: Annual number of inmates requiring A&D services 253 Output: Annual number of inmates served by A&D Program 253 Output: Number of A&D Program slots available 120 Efficiency: Percentage of inmates needing A&D that were served 100%

A.4.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of A&D Program Output: Number of inmates enrolled in A&D Program 226 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing A&D Program 96 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing A&D Program 42.5%

OBJECTIVE A.5. To operate effective and efficient Adult Basic Education (ABE) for inmates. Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete the ABE Program 33% Outcome: Percent of offenders possessing GED certificate or High School Diploma at time of release 58.2% A.5.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for ABE needs

Output: Number of inmates determined to need ABE Program 1087 Output: Number of inmates served by ABE Program 113 Output: Number of ABE Program slots available 50 Efficiency: Annual percent of inmates needing ABE that were served 10.4%

A.5.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency of ABE Program

Output: Number of inmates served by ABE program 113 Output: Annual Cost of ABE Program \$473,686.88 Efficiency: Average cost per offender in ABE Program \$4192

A.5.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of ABE Program

Output: Number of inmates enrolled in ABE Program 113 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing ABE Program 9 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing ABE Program 7.5% OBJECTIVE A.6. To operate efficient and effective Vocational Education programs for inmates Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete a vocational program **5.6%** Outcome: Percent of offenders obtaining marketable job skills during incarceration **4.8%**

A.6.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for VOC-ED needs
Output: Number of inmates determined to need VOC-ED program 1087
Output: Number of VOC-ED program slots available 150
Output: Number of inmates served by VOC-ED program 373
Efficiency: Annual percent of inmates needing VOC-ED that were served 34.3%

A.6.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency of VOC-ED program
Output: number of inmates served by VOC-ED program 373
Output: annual cost of VOC-ED program \$321,131.20
Efficiency: Average cost per offender in VOC-ED program \$861

A.6.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of VOC-ED program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in VOC-ED program 373
 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing VOC-ED program 45
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing VOC-ED program 12.1%

Non-Evidence Based Interventions

OBJECTIVE A.7. To provide effective religious programs through collaboration with volunteers Outcome: Annual cost savings for religious programs services provided by volunteers **\$6193.32**

A.7.1. STRATEGY: Measure of religious program participation and volunteer services
 Output: Number of inmate contacts in religious program services monthly 6660
 Output: Number of volunteers delivering religious program services monthly 23
 Efficiency: Average ratio of offender contacts to volunteers per month 296

A.7.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency religious program services delivered by volunteers
 Output: Number of volunteer religious program service hours provided 438
 Output: Per hour value of donated services \$14.14
 Efficiency: Monetary value of volunteer hours provided \$6193.32

PROGRAM: SUPPORT

2. Central Mississippi Correctional Facility

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offender while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1: To maintain adequate security staff and housing commensurate with prison population

Outcome: Number of inmates to officers (ratio) 11.3

Outcome: Percent of inmate daily population to operational capacity 89.7%

A.1.1 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 439

Output: Annual security staff Filled 320

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 72.9%

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled **320**

Output: Annual average of double shifts 44

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 0.1

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Manage utilization of prison beds

Output: Annual average daily prison population 3602 Output: Prison capacity 4015 Efficiency: Annual percent of occupied prison capacity 89.7%

Institutional Security

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates **3.0** Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers **7.8** A.2.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of cells searched 6639

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 827

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell 12.5%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.2.2 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 25

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 4

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 16%

A.2.3 STRATEGY: Track number of inmate on inmate assaults

Output: Annual number of inmates 3602

Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 108

Efficiency: Rate of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 3

A.2.4 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates

Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered **1217** Output: Annual number of positive results **113** Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens **9.3%**

Other Institutional Services

OBJECTIVE A.3. To promote positive behavioral change through continuous inmate assessment, reclassification and delivery of case management services

Outcome: Rate of serious and major institutional infractions per 1000 inmates 66

A.3.1. STRATEGY: Conduct reclassifications for inmate population every 12 months

Output: Number of annual inmate objective reclassifications 1108

Output: Number of inmates eligible for objective reclassification 2227

Efficiency: Percent of objective reclassifications completed 49.8%

A.3.2. STRATEGY: Track case manager contacts with inmate population
 Output: Annual average inmate population 3602
 Output: Annual average case manager contacts 1082
 Efficiency: Percent of case manager contacts with inmate population 30%

A.3.3. STRATEGY: Track institutional rule violations per month
 Output: Average Inmate population 3602
 Output: Average number of serious and major rule violations 237
 Efficiency: Percentage of serious and major rule violations 6.6%

Evidence Based Interventions

OBJECTIVE A.4. To provide effective alcohol and drug treatment programs Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete the A&D Program 24.5%

A.4.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for A&D treatment needs
Output: Annual number of inmates requiring A&D services 456
Output: Annual number of inmates served by A&D Program 200
Output: Number of A&D Program slots available 191
Efficiency: Percentage of inmates needing A&D that were served 44%

A.4.2. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of A&D Program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in A&D Program 200
 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing A&D Program 143
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing A&D Program 71.5%

OBJECTIVE A.5. To operate effective and efficient Adult Basic Education (ABE) for inmates. Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete the ABE Program 12.5% Outcome: Percent of offenders possessing GED certificate or High School Diploma at time of release 63.4% A.5.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for ABE needs
Output: Number of inmates determined to need ABE Program 1472
Output: Number of inmates served by ABE Program 130
Output: Number of ABE Program slots available 124
Efficiency: Annual percent of inmates needing ABE that were served 8.8%

A.5.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency of ABE Program
Output: Number of inmates served by ABE program 130
Output: Annual cost of ABE Program \$209,767.19
Efficiency: Average cost per offender in ABE Program \$1613.59

A.5.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of ABE Program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in ABE Program 130
 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing ABE Program 25
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing ABE Program 19.2%

OBJECTIVE A.6. To operate efficient and effective Vocational Education programs for inmates Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete a vocational program 11.1% Outcome: Percent of offenders obtaining marketable job skills during incarceration 1.0%

A.6.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for VOC-ED needs

Output: Number of inmates determined to need VOC-ED program 1472 Output: Number of VOC-ED program slots available 73 Output: Number of inmates served by VOC-ED program 65 Efficiency: Annual percent of inmates needing VOC-ED that were served 4.4%

A.6.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency of VOC-ED program

Output: Number of inmates served by VOC-ED program 65 Output: Annual cost of VOC-ED program \$195,469.94 Efficiency: Average cost per offender in VOC-ED program \$3007.23 A.6.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of VOC-ED program

Output: Number of inmates enrolled in VOC-ED program 65 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing VOC-ED program 45 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing VOC-ED program 69.2%

Non-Evidence Based Interventions

OBJECTIVE A.7. To provide effective religious programs through collaboration with volunteers Outcome: Annual cost savings for religious program services provided by volunteers \$36,000

A.7.1. STRATEGY: Measure of religious program services delivered by volunteers
 Output: Number of inmate contacts in religious program services monthly 3054
 Output: Number of volunteers delivering religious program services monthly 64
 Efficiency: Average ratio of offender contacts to volunteers per month 47.7

A.7.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency religious program services delivered by volunteers
 Output: Number of volunteer religious program service hours provided 1800
 Output: Per hour value of donated services \$20
 Efficiency: Monetary value of volunteer hours provided \$36,000

PROGRAM: SUPPORT

3. South Mississippi Correctional Institutions

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offenders while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1: To maintain adequate security staff and housing commensurate with prison population

Outcome: Number of inmates to officers (ratio) 12.1

Outcome: Percent of inmate daily population to operational capacity 86.3%

A.1.1 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 371

Output: Annual security staff Filled 205

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 55.3%

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled 205

Output: Annual average of double shifts 766

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 3.7

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Manage utilization of prison beds

Output: Annual average daily prison population 2487 Output: Prison capacity 2882 Efficiency: Annual percent of occupied prison capacity 86.3%

Institutional Security

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates 2.9 Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers 1.0

A.2.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of cells searched 20,282

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 1764

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell 8.7%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.2.2 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 2

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 0

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries -0%

A.2.3 STRATEGY: Track number of inmate on inmate assaults

Output: Annual number of inmates 2487

Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 73

Efficiency: Rate of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 2.9

A.2.4 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates

Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered **4931** Output: Annual number of positive results **197** Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens **4%**

Other Institutional Services

OBJECTIVE A.3. To promote positive behavioral change through continuous inmate assessment, reclassification and delivery of case management services

Outcome: Rate of serious and major institutional infractions per 1000 inmates 96.1

A.3.1. STRATEGY: Conduct reclassifications for inmate population every 12 months
 Output: Number of annual inmate objective reclassifications 1934
 Output: Number of inmates eligible for objective reclassification 2147
 Efficiency: Percent of objective reclassifications completed 90%

A.3.2. STRATEGY: Track case manager contacts with inmate population

Output: Annual average inmate population 2487 Output: Annual average case manager contacts 2319 Efficiency: Percent of case manager contacts with inmate population 93.2%

A.3.3. STRATEGY: Track institutional rule violations per month

Output: Average Inmate population 2487 Output: Average number of serious and major rule violations 239 Efficiency: Percentage of serious and major rule violations 9.6%

Evidence Based Interventions

OBJECTIVE A.4. To provide effective alcohol and drug treatment programs Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete the A&D Program 37.2%

A.4.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for A&D treatment needs
Output: Annual number of inmates requiring A&D services 220
Output: Annual number of inmates served by A&D Program 180
Output: Number of A&D program slots available 200
Efficiency: Percentage of inmates needing A&D that were served 75%

A.4.2. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of A&D Program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in A&D Program 180
 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing A&D Program 157
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully Completing A&D Program 87%

OBJECTIVE A.5. To operate effective and efficient Adult Basic Education (ABE) for inmates Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete the ABE Program 40% Outcome: Percent of offenders possessing GED certificate or High School Diploma at time of release 59.9%

A.5.1. STRATEGY: Measure of available capacity for ABE needs

Output: Number of inmates determined to need ABE Program 1262 Output: Number of inmates served by ABE Program 449 Output: Number of ABE program slots available 454 Efficiency: Annual percent of inmates needing ABE that were served 35.6%

A.5.2. STRATEGY: Measure Cost Efficiency of ABE Program

Output: Number of inmates served by ABE program **449** Output: Annual Cost of ABE Program **\$170,040.50** Efficiency: Average cost per offender in ABE Program **\$378.71**

A.5.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of ABE Program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in ABE Program 449
 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing ABE Program 61
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing ABE Program 13.6%

OBJECTIVE A.6. To operate efficient and effective Vocational Education programs for inmates Outcome: Recidivism rate for inmates who complete a vocational program 22% Outcome: Percent of offenders obtaining marketable job skills during incarceration 6.0% A.6.1. STRATEGY: Measure of Available Capacity for VOC-ED Needs
Output: Number of inmates determined to need VOC-ED program 1262
Output: Number of VOC-ED program slots available 276
Output: Number of inmates served by VOC-ED program 304
Efficiency: Annual percent of inmates needing VOC-ED that were served 24%

A.6.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency of VOC-ED program
Output: number of inmates served by VOC-ED program 304
Output: annual cost of VOC-ED program \$160,103.12
Efficiency: Average cost per offender in VOC-ED program \$526.66

A.6.3. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of VOC-ED program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in VOC-ED program 304
 Output: Number of inmates successfully completing VOC-ED program 251
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully completing VOC-ED program 82.6%

Non-Evidence Based Interventions

OBJECTIVE A.7. To provide effective religious programs through collaboration with volunteers Outcome: Annual cost savings for religious program services provided by volunteers \$5454

A.7.1. STRATEGY: Measure of religious program participation and volunteer services
 Output: Number of inmate contacts in religious program services monthly 2753
 Output: Number of volunteers delivering religious program services monthly 31
 Efficiency: Average ratio of offender contacts to volunteers per month 87.7

A.7.2. STRATEGY: Measure cost efficiency religious program services delivered by volunteers
 Output: Number of volunteer religious program service hours provided 368
 Output: Per hour value of donated services \$14.82
 Efficiency: Monetary value of volunteer hours provided \$5454

PROGRAM: SUPPORT

4. Marshall County Correctional Facility

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offender while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1: To maintain adequate security staff and housing commensurate with prison population

Outcome: Number of inmates to officers (ratio) 10.8

Outcome: Percent of inmate daily population to operational capacity 91.4%

A.1.1 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 126

Output: Annual security staff Filled 78

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 62%

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled **78**

Output: Annual average of double shifts **(**

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 0

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Manage utilization of prison beds

Output: Annual average daily prison population 846 Output: Prison capacity 925 Efficiency: Annual percent of occupied prison capacity 91.4%

Institutional Security

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates **7.9** Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers **3.8** A.2.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of random cell searches **439**

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 409

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell search 92%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.2.2 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 3

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 1

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 33%

A.2.3 STRATEGY: Track number of inmate on inmate assaults

Output: Annual number of inmates 846

Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 67

Efficiency: Rate of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 7.9

A.2.4 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates

Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered **508** Output: Annual number of positive results **50** Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens **9.8%**

Other Institutional Services

OBJECTIVE A.3. To promote positive behavioral change through continuous inmate assessment, reclassification and delivery of case management services

Outcome: Rate of serious and major institutional infractions per 1000 inmates 62.7

A.3.1. STRATEGY: Conduct reclassifications for inmate population every 12 months

Output: Number of annual inmate objective reclassifications 585

Output: Number of inmates eligible for objective reclassification 686

Efficiency: Percent of objective reclassifications completed 85%

A.3.2. STRATEGY: Track case manager contacts with inmate population
 Output: Annual average inmate population 846
 Output: Annual average case manager contacts 761
 Efficiency: Percent of case manager contacts with inmate population 90%

A.3.3. STRATEGY: Track institutional rule violations per month
 Output: Average Inmate population 846
 Output: Average number of serious and major rule violations 53
 Efficiency: Percentage of serious and major rule violations 6.3%

A.3.4 STRATEGY: Track program availability for inmates

Outcome: Number of A&D Program slots available **80** Outcome: Number of ABE Program slots available **196** Outcome: Number of VOC-ED program slots available **55**

PROGRAM: SUPPORT

5. Walnut Grove Correctional Facility

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offender while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1: To maintain adequate security staff and housing commensurate with prison population

Outcome: Number of inmates to officers (ratio) 2.7

Outcome: Percent of inmate daily population to operational capacity 19.9%

A.1.1 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 136

Output: Annual security staff Filled 91

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 67%

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled 91

Output: Annual average of double shifts 0

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 0

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Manage utilization of prison beds

Output: Annual average daily prison population 247 Output: Prison capacity 1244

Efficiency: Annual percent of occupied prison capacity 19.9%

Institutional Security

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates 0.8

Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers 6.6

A.2.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of random cell searches 13,396

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 34

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell search 0.3%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.2.2 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 6

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 2

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 33%

A.2.3 STRATEGY: Track number of inmate on inmate assaults

Output: Annual number of inmates 247

Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 2

Efficiency: Rate of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 0.8

A.2.4 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates

Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered 474 Output: Annual number of positive results 2 Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens 0.4%

Other Institutional Services

OBJECTIVE A.3. To promote positive behavioral change through continuous inmate assessment, reclassification and delivery of case management services

Outcome: Rate of serious and major institutional infractions per 1000 inmates 109

A.3.1. STRATEGY: Conduct reclassifications for inmate population every 12 months

Output: Number of annual inmate objective reclassifications 100

Output: Number of inmates eligible for objective reclassification 123

Efficiency: Percent of objective reclassifications completed 81%

A.3.2. STRATEGY: Track case manager contacts with inmate population
 Output: Annual average inmate population 247
 Output: Annual average case manager contacts 100
 Efficiency: Percent of case manager contacts with inmate population 40.5%

A.3.3. STRATEGY: Track institutional rule violations per month
 Output: Average Inmate population 247
 Output: Average number of serious and major rule violations 27
 Efficiency: Percentage of serious and major rule violations 10.9%

A.3.4 STRATEGY: Track program availability for inmates

Outcome: Number of A&D Program slots available 100 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in A&D Program 97

Outcome: Number of ABE Program slots available **188** Output: Number of inmates enrolled ABE Program **184**

Outcome: Number of VOC-ED program slots available **120** Output: Number of inmates enrolled VOC-ED Program **111**

6. Central Office

GOAL A: To provide technical and administrative support to the institutional and field operations of the Mississippi Department of Corrections, and to provide meaningful victim services to the victim population of the State of Mississippi.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1. To effectively and efficiently provide administrative support for all institutional and field services within the Mississippi Department of Corrections.

Outcome: State prisoners per 100,000 population (includes only inmates sentenced to more than one year) 656

Outcome: Average annual incarceration cost per inmate \$53.26

Outcome: Support as a percent of total budget 7.2%

OBJECTIVE A.2. Provide effective and efficient victim services

Outcome: Turnaround time for inquiry by victims to be answered 1 days

Outcome: Level of reported satisfaction by victims with answers 85%

A.2.1. STRATEGY: Measure effectiveness of the victim services

Output: Annual number of victim inquires answered 6,878

Output: Number of victim services staff 2

Efficiency: Number of inquiries answered per staff 3394

5. Community Corrections

GOAL A: To provide alternative non-incarceration sanctions, community work centers and restitutions centers in a manner that provides safety and security to the citizens of Mississippi.

General Administration

OBJECTIVE A.1. To effectively and efficiently provide administrative support for field services and residential services for the Mississippi Department of Corrections.

Outcome: Ratio of supervised offenders to Probation/Parole agents **118** Outcome: Percent of staff completing training requirements **60%** Outcome: Supervision fee collection rate **77.4%**

A.1.1. STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Average annual number of supervised probationers and parolees 22,138

Output: Average annual number of Probation/Parole agents 187

Efficiency: Number supervised offenders per Probation/Parole agent 118

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Average annual number of (ISP) offenders 889

Output: Average annual number of ISP agents 47

Efficiency: Number supervised ISP offenders per ISP agent 19

A.1.3. STRATEGY: Track training attendance and certification requirements

Output: Number of officers completing training and certification requirements 113 Output: Total number of Probation/Parole agents 187

Efficiency: Percentage of Probation/Parole agents completing training and certification requirements 60%

A.1.4. STRATEGY: Measure efficiency of supervision fee collection

Output: Number of offenders on supervision 23,026 Output: Total fees invoiced \$11,744,335 Output: Total supervision fees collected \$9,092,825.98 Efficiency: Percentage of fees collected to collectable amount 77.4%

Probation/Parole

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide the maximum opportunity for community based offender habilitation through effective field supervision

Outcome: Recidivism rate within 12 months of completion of field supervision 10.3%

Outcome: Recidivism rate within 36 months of completion of field supervision 17.2%

A.2.1. STRATEGY: Measure the outcome of offenders exiting parole and probation supervision Output: Number of successful completions **6648**

Output: Number of exits from parole and probation 9893

Efficiency: Percentage of successful completions 67.2%

Evidence Based Intervention

OBJECTIVE A.3. To provide effective alcohol and drug treatment through community based programs Outcome: Number of offenders referred to A & D treatment programs 2065 Outcome: Percentage of offenders completing A & D treatment programs 251

A.3.1. STRATEGY: Measure number of offenders entering community based A & D programs

Output: Number of program participants 2065 Output: Number of offenders completing program 251 Efficiency: Percentage of completions 12.2%

Non-Evidence Based Intervention

OBJECTIVE A.4. To provide swift and proportional responses to non-compliant behavior as an alternative to incarceration

Outcome: Percentage of prison admissions for technical violations 34.7%

A.4.1 STRATEGY: Track the use of graduated sanctions for technical violations

Output: Total number of violations 5584

Output: Number of violations addressed through graduated sanctions 2959

Efficiency: Percent of violations addressed through graduated sanctions 53%

A.4.2 STRATEGY: Track revocations to incarceration for technical violations

Output: Total number of revocations for technical violations 2625

Output: Total number of prison admissions 7278

Efficiency: Percentage of admissions to prison for technical violations 36.1%

Community Work Centers

OBJECTIVE A.5. To operate 50-100 bed facilities (Community Work Centers) in communities throughout the state, housing minimum-security state inmates to work in the communities under the supervision of local authorities.

Outcome: Recidivism rate within 12 months of release 10.7% Outcome: Recidivism rate within 36 months of release 25.3% Outcome: Monetary value of donated labor provided by CWC offenders \$ 4.242.018.50

A.5.1 STRATEGY: Measure value of donated labor by CWC offenders

Output: Number of hours of labor provided by CWCs 585,106

Outcome: Per hour rate for donated labor \$7.25

Efficiency: Monetary value of CWC donated labor \$4,242,018.50

Restitution Centers

OBJECTIVE A.6. To operate facilities (Restitution Centers) throughout the state to house offenders sentenced to court ordered restitution. The purpose is to enable offenders to work for wages in the community, pay restitution to victims, and pay court costs and fees.

In FY 2023, the average daily population for offenders sentenced to restitution centers was 17. These offenders were placed at satellite facilities.

Due to the limited and suspended use of restitution centers, MDOC is unable to provide accurate performance measures for this category.

Outcome: Monetary value of donated labor by Restitution Center offenders \$1,305

A.6.1 STRATEGY: Measure value of donated labor by Restitution Center offenders

Output: Number of hours of labor provided by Restitution Center offenders 180

Output: Per hour rate for donated labor \$7.25

Efficiency: Monetary value of Restitution Center donated labor \$1,305

PROGRAM: REGIONAL PRISONS

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offender while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates 6.5 Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers 12.1

A.1.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of random cell searches 28,350

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 3964

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell search 14%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 502

Output: Annual security staff filled 437

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 87.1%

A.1.3. STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled 437

Output: Annual average of double shifts 0

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 0

A.1.4 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 53

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 16

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 30.2%

A.1.5 STRATEGY: Track number of Inmate on Inmate Assaults
 Output: Annual number of inmates 4494
 Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 294
 Efficiency: Percent of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 6.5

A.1.6 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates
 Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered 6597
 Output: Annual number of positive results 711
 Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens 10.8 %

A.1.7 STRATEGY: Track program availability for inmates

Outcome: Number of A&D Program slots available 445 Outcome: Number of ABE Program slots available 495 Outcome: Number of VOC-ED program slots available 717

PROGRAM: PRIVATE PRISONS

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offender while providing meaningful work habilitation programs to prepare inmates for return to society and running an efficient agency.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To provide safe and secure confinement

Outcome: Number of assaults on inmates per 100 inmates 6.2 Outcome: Number of assaults on officers per 100 officers 11 Outcome: Recidivism rate within 12 months of release from a private prison 22.2% Outcome: Recidivism rate within 36 months of release from a private prison 39.0%

A.1.1. STRATEGY: Random cell searches will be conducted in the housing units

Output: Number of random cell searches 14,779

Output: Number of contraband recoveries 2,010

Efficiency: Percentage of contraband recovered per cell search 13.6%

Explanatory: Removing contraband from housing units is essential to prison security and must occur on a regular basis and be documented and monitored.

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 301 Output: Annual security staff filled 131 Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 43.5%

A.1.3. STRATEGY: Track the number of double shifts per filled security PIN

Output: Annual security staff filled 131

Output: Annual average of double shifts **()**

Efficiency: Average number of double shifts per filled security PIN 0

A.1.4 STRATEGY: Track serious injuries due to inmate on staff assaults

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults 15

Output: Annual number of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 5

Efficiency: Percentage of inmate on staff assaults with serious injuries 33%

A.1.5 STRATEGY: Track number of Inmate on Inmate Assaults

Output: Annual number of inmates 2242 Output: Annual number of inmate on inmate assaults 140 Efficiency: Rate of inmate on inmate assaults per 100 inmates 6.2

A.1.6 STRATEGY: Track percentage of positive drug screens for inmates
 Output: Annual number of drug screenings administered 1853
 Output: Annual number of positive results 475
 Efficiency: Percentage of positive drug screens 25.6%

A.1.7 STRATEGY: Track program availability for inmates
 Outcome: Number of A&D program slots available 148
 Outcome: Number of ABE program slots available 397
 Outcome: Number of VOC-ED program slots available 147

PROGRAM: LOCAL CONFINEMENT

GOAL A: To provide effective and efficient interaction with the county jails to ensure that adequate housing is available for inmates awaiting transfer to state correctional facilities and that have been returned to county control pending court action.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To use local confinement of offenders in an efficient and effective manner

Outcome: Average number of inmate offenders held in county jails 1322 Outcome: Number of offenders held in compliance with 47-5-901 (Days) 482,653

Outcome: Average number of violators held in county jails up to 21 days 140 Outcome: Number of violators held in county jails (Days) 51,116

PROGRAM: MEDICAL SERVICES

GOAL A: To provide the offender population with efficient and effective medical care comparable to the non-incarcerated population of Mississippi.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To provide effective and efficient medical services to the inmate population

Outcome: Total number of offender contacts with health care professionals

A.1.1. STRATEGY: Measuring cost per day per offender

Output: Number of offender days 6,157,185

Output: Total cost of medical services for inmates \$102,828,644.05 Efficiency: Cost per offender per day for medical care \$16.70

Explanatory: A factor outside the control of the MDOC is the general increase in medical goods and services provided by private hospitals and clinics.

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Measuring contacts with health care professionals

Output: Total number of offender days 6,157,185

Output: Total number of offender contacts with health care professionals 1,459,589

Efficiency: Percent of offender days requiring contact with health care professionals 24%

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Measuring chronic care treatment

Output: Number of inmates determined to have chronic illnesses 5577

Output: Number of chronic care treatment days 21,193

Efficiency: Average number of chronic care treatment days per chronic care offender 3.8

Explanatory: This tracks the number of chronically ill offenders, a major component of medical costs.

A.1.4 STRATEGY: Measuring offender hospitalization

Output: Total number of inmate hospital admissions 749

Output: Number of inmate days in a hospital 5094

Efficiency: Average length of stay in a hospital 6.8

PROGRAM: FARMING OPERATION

GOAL A: To offset the food costs of the Mississippi Department of Corrections through the growing and processing of food crops either for offender consumption or for commercial trade, while also providing work opportunities and skill training for inmates.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To offset the food costs of the MDOC through the MDOC farming operation

Outcome: Total annual income from farm sales (including the total expenditure reduction for inmate food) \$1,354,805

Outcome: Number of inmates working in the farm program 44

A.1.1. STRATEGY: Measure acreage farmed

Output: Total MDOC acres available for farming 13,709.97

Output: Total acres farmed 4,606

Efficiency: Percent of farmable acres farmed **33.6%**

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Measure acreage leased

Output: Total acres leased 9,103.97

Output: Total annual lease revenue \$1,178,851.26

Efficiency: Annual lease revenue per acre \$129.49

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Measure offender labor employed

Output: Estimated number of inmates available to work in farming **50** Output: Number of inmates working in farming **44** Efficiency: Percent of available inmates working in farming **88%**

PROGRAM: PAROLE BOARD

GOAL A: To provide a mechanism for inmates to be released from incarceration upon demonstration of reformation and the completion of a time of incarceration sufficient to deter further criminal action.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To provide a parole board for inmates to be safely released from incarceration

Outcome: Number of inmates placed on parole **3580** Outcome: Total number of inmates on parole **8778**

A.1.1. STRATEGY: Measure parole hearings conducted

Output: Total number of inmates eligible for parole hearings **5813** Output: Total number of inmates eligible receiving parole hearings **5316** Efficiency: Percent of eligible inmates receiving parole hearings **91.5%**

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Measure sentence reduction through parole

Output: Number of inmates paroled 3580

Output: Average sentence length of inmates paroled **92 months** Output: Average length of time served by inmates granted parole **35.8 months**

Efficiency: Average percent of sentence reduction by parole grants 36%

A.1.3 STRATEGY: Measure parole return rate

Output: Number of Parole Revocations 1750 Output: Number of parolees revoked - new crimes committed 157 Output: Number of parolees revoked – technical violations 1593 Efficiency: Percentage of parolee's revoked – technical violations 91% Efficiency: Percentage of parolees revoked - new crimes committed 9%

PROGRAM: YOUTHFUL OFFENDER UNIT

GOAL A: To provide a safe and orderly working environment for staff and offenders while providing academic and vocational services to offenders age 17 or younger who have been incarcerated in the adult system.

OBJECTIVE A.1. To provide safe and secure confinement for youthful offenders separate from offenders age 18 and older

Outcome: Ratio of offenders to security staff 1.4

Outcome: Percent of disciplinary actions handled through informal resolutions 56.2%

Outcome: Recidivism rate within 12 months of release from the Youthful Facility 21.6%

Outcome: Recidivism rate within 36 months of release from the Youthful Facility 48.9%

A.1.1 STRATEGY: Monitor the efficiency of maintaining the required workforce

Output: Total security staff authorized 35

Output: Annual security staff filled 20

Output: Annual average daily Youthful Offender population 28

Efficiency: Annual percentage of security positions filled 57.1%

Efficiency: Ratio of offenders to security staff **1.4**

A.1.2 STRATEGY: Track infractions managed through lowest-level disciplinary action

Output: Total number of disciplinary infractions **397** Output: Total number managed through informal resolution **223**

Efficiency: Percent managed through informal resolution 56.2%

OBJECTIVE A.2. To provide academic, vocational, and rehabilitative programs for youthful offenders separate from offenders age 18 and older

Outcome: Number of youthful offenders obtaining GED certificate 0 Outcome: Number of youthful offenders served in vocational programs 0 Outcome: Number of youthful offenders served in rehabilitative programs 48

- A.2.1. STRATEGY: Measure program success rate of academic program
 Output: Number of inmates enrolled in academic program 48
 Output: Number of inmates successfully obtaining GED 0
 Efficiency: Percent of inmates successfully obtaining GED 0%
- A.2.2 STRATEGY: Track vocational program availability for youthful offenders
 Output: Number of vocational program slots available 0
 Output: Number of youthful offenders enrolled in vocational programs 0
 Efficiency: Percent of youthful offenders enrolled in vocational programs 0

A.2.3 STRATEGY: Track rehabilitative program availability for youthful offenders

Output: Number of rehabilitative program slots available 46

Output: Number of youthful offenders participating in rehabilitative programs 48 Efficiency: Percent of youthful offenders participating rehabilitative programs 100%